Hadron Multiplicities in p+p and p+Pb Collisions at the LHC*

WANG Hongmin[1]†, HOU Zhaoyu[2], SUN Xianjing[3]

[1]Physics Department, Academy of Armored Forces Engineering of PLA, Beijing 100072, China

[2]Physics Graduate School, Shijiazhuang Railway Institute, 050043, China

[3]Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

arXiv:1401.6625v1 [hep-ph] 26 Jan 2014

Abstract: Experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have measured multiplicity distributions in p+p and p+Pb collisions at a new domain of collision energy. Based on considering an energy-dependent broadening of the nucleon's density distribution, charged hadron multiplicities are studied with the phenomenological saturation model and the evolution equation dependent saturation model. By assuming the saturation scale have a small dependence on the 3-dimensional root mean square (rms) radius at different energy, the theoretical results are in good agreement with the experimental data from CMS and ALICE collaboration. Then, the predictive results in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV of the LHC are also given.

Key words: hadron multiplicities, negative binominal distribution, gluon saturation

1 Introduction

Charged hadron multiplicities in relativistic heavy ion collisions are of great significance as its variables are very sensitive to the underlying mechanism involved in the nuclear collisions[1]. These can provide a unique opportunity to test the predictions of quantum chromo-dynamics (QCD) and understand the partonic structure of the colliding nuclei. Among the variables, the pseudo-rapidity distribution and negative binominal distribution (NBD) are two important and interesting variable quantities to be studied on both experimental and theoretical sides in recent years[2−7]. The pseudo-rapidity distribution of charged hadron is one quantity always used to investigate the particle production mechanism in high energy hadron-hadron and nucleus-nucleus collisions. For pseudo-rapidity density is sensitive to the initial conditions of system and the hadronic final state interactions, the study of the charged hadron densities at mid-rapidity can provide the relevant information on the interplay between hard parton-parton scattering process and soft process. The NBD is another quantity which played major role in describing multiplicity distribution of produced charged particles. The NBD can describe well the multiplicity distribution almost in all inelastic high energy collision processes except for the data particularly at the highest available collider energies. In this paper, the pseudo-rapidity distribution and the NBD in p+p and p+Pb collisions will be studied in the framework of the Color Glass Condensate.

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11247322/A050306) and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2012210043)

†E-mail:whmw@sina.com.cn

At very high energies or small Bjorken-x, QCD predicts that high density gluon in a hadron wave function form a new state, the Color Glass Condensate (CGC). In this regime, the gluon density increases inside the hadron wave functions and becomes very large in comparison to all other parton species (the valence quarks), and the sea quarks are suppressed by the coupling α_s since they can be produced from the gluons by the splitting $g \to q\bar{q}$. Now, CGC has become an effective theory in describing the gluon content of a high energy hadron or nucleus in the saturation regime and many saturation models have been established[8−12]. These saturation models can be divided into two main kinds: the phenomenological saturation model and the evolution equation dependent saturation model. The phenomenological saturation models, such as the Golec-Biernat and Wüsthoff (GBW) model[8], the Kharzeev, Levin and Nardi (KLN) model[9] and the Kovchegov, Lu and Rezaeian (KLR) model[10], are very simple and their dipole-proton scattering amplitude can be given by an analytic expression. The evolution equation dependent saturation models, such as the impact parameter dependent saturation model (IP-Sat)[11] and the running coupling Balitsky-Kovchegov (rcBK) model[12], are based on the DGLAP or the rcBK evolution equation and they are very useful at small Bjorken-x or high collision energy. Having studied charged particle multiplicity distribution with the GBW model[7], we will investigate charged hadron pseudo-rapidity distribution and the NBD with the phenomenological KLN model and the evolution equation dependent rcBK model, respectively.

In order to give an accurate theoretical analysis, the nucleon's density distribution in position space, which control the unintegrated gluon distribution (UGD) through the saturation scale Q_s, must be considered. It should be pointed out that, due to gluon saturation, the width of the gluon distribution inside a nucleon should grow with collision energy $\sqrt{s}$[13]. This will lead to a broadening of the nucleon's density distribution in position space as $\sqrt{s}$ increases. Thus, we here consider an energy-dependent broadening of the Gaussian nucleon thickness function, and assume the saturation scale have a small dependence on the 3-dimensional root mean square (rms) radius at different collision energy[14]. The outline of this paper is the following. The theoretical method is given in Sec. 2 and the results and discussion are given in Sec. 3.

2 Method

For hadron-hadron collisions, the multiplicity per unit rapidity and per unit transverse area can be given by[15]

$$\frac{dN}{d^2\mathbf{b}dy} = \frac{2\pi^3 N_c}{N_c^2-1}\int d^2\mathbf{r}_\perp \int_0^\infty \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}_T}{p_T^2}\int_0^{p_T} d^2\mathbf{k}_T \alpha_s(\max\{\frac{(\mathbf{k}_T+\mathbf{p}_T)^2}{4}, \frac{(\mathbf{k}_T-\mathbf{p}_T)^2}{4}\})$$

$$\times \phi_1(x_1, \frac{(\mathbf{k}_T+\mathbf{p}_T)^2}{4}, \mathbf{b})\phi_2(x_2, \frac{(\mathbf{k}_T-\mathbf{p}_T)^2}{4}, \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{r}_\perp), \quad (1)$$

where $N_c = 3$, $x_{1,2} = (p_t/\sqrt{s})\exp(\pm y)$, $\mathbf{b}$ is the impact factor and $\mathbf{r}$ is the transverse position of the gluon. The running coupling constant $\alpha_s(k^2) = \min\{\frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln[(k^2+\Lambda^2)/\Lambda^2_{QCD}]}, 0.5\}$ with $\beta_0 = 11 - \frac{2}{3}n_f = 9$ and $\Lambda = \Lambda_{QCD} = 0.2$ GeV. For the unintegrated gluon distribution ϕ, we will use the form given by the KLN model[9] and the rcBK model[12].

In the KLN model, ϕ is taken to be

$$\phi(x, k^2, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{\kappa C_F Q_s^2}{2\pi^3 \alpha_s(Q_s^2)} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{Q_s^2+\Lambda^2}, & k \le Q_s \\ \frac{1}{k^2+\Lambda^2}, & k > Q_s \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

where $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/(2N_c)$ and κ is a normalization factor. The unintegrated gluon distribution depend on transverse position through the saturation scale

$$Q_{\rm s,p}^2(x, \mathbf{b}) = Q_0^2(\frac{T_{\rm p}(\mathbf{b})}{T_{\rm p,0}})(\frac{0.01}{x})^{\lambda}, \tag{3}$$

where $Q_0^2 = 2$ GeV^2, $\lambda = 0.288$[8] and $T_{\rm p,0}$ is taken as 1 fm^{-2}. For the nucleon thickness function, the Gaussian form is used

$$T_{\rm p}(\mathbf{b}) = \frac{e^{-b^2/(2B)}}{2\pi B}. \tag{4}$$

where the proton width parameter B can be computed from[13]

$$B(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{\sigma_{\rm in}(\sqrt{s})}{14.30}\mathtt{fm}^2, \tag{5}$$

and $\sigma_{\rm in}(\sqrt{s})$ is the inelastic scattering cross section. In this paper, we assume the gluon saturation scale have a small dependence on the 3-dimensional rms radius of the proton[14]

$$Q_{\rm s,p}^2(\sqrt{s}) = Q_{\rm s,p}^2(\sqrt{s_0})(\frac{\pi r_{\rm rms,0}^2}{\pi r_{\rm rms}^2})^{1/\delta}, \tag{6}$$

where $\delta = 0.8$[14] and the 3-dimensional rms radius $r_{\rm rms} = \sqrt{< r^2 >} = \sqrt{3B}$. In Table 1 we collect a few representative values.

$\sqrt{s}$/TeV	0.9	2.36	5.02	7	14
$\sigma_{\rm in}$/mb	52	60	67	70.45	76.3
$\sqrt{B}$/fm	0.603	0.648	0.685	0.702	0.730
$r_{\rm rms}$/fm	1.044	1.192	1.186	1.216	1.264

Table 1: The 3-dimensional rms radius for various collision energies. The values for $\sigma_{\rm in}$ at LHC energies were reported in [6,16-17].

In the rcBK model, the unintegrated gluon distribution can be obtained from the dipole scattering amplitude via a Fourier transform

$$\phi(x, k) = \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{2\pi r^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} N(x, r) = \int \frac{dr}{r} J_0(rk) N(x, r), \tag{7}$$

where J_0 is the spherical bessel function of the first kind. The dipole scattering amplitude in the rcBK evolution reads[12]

$$\frac{\partial N(r, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 K^{\rm Bal}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})[N(r_1, Y) + N(r_2, Y) - N(r, Y) - N(r_1, Y)N(r_2, Y)], \tag{8}$$

and the kernel for the running term using Balitsky's prescription reads

$$K^{\rm Bal}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}) = \frac{N_c \alpha_{\rm s}(r^2)}{2\pi^2}[\frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} + \frac{1}{r_1^2}(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(r_1^2)}{\alpha_{\rm s}(r_2^2)} - 1) + \frac{1}{r_2^2}(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}(r_2^2)}{\alpha_{\rm s}(r_1^2)} - 1)]. \tag{9}$$

For the initial conditions, the GBW ansatz is used for the dipole scattering amplitude[8]

$$N^{\rm GBW}(r, Y = 0) = 1 - \exp[-(\frac{r^2 Q_{s0}^2}{4})^{\gamma}], \tag{10}$$

where $\gamma = 1$ and Q_{s0}^2 is the initial saturation scale squared.

Now let us take into account the negative binomial distribution. Negative binomial distribution is a general property of multi-particle production process regardless of type of colliding particles, and the negative binomial probability distribution for obtaining n charged particles in the final state is given as follows:

$$P(n) = \frac{\Gamma(k+n)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(n+1)}(\frac{\bar{n}}{k})^n(1+\frac{\bar{n}}{k})^{-n-k}, \tag{11}$$

where the mean multiplicity $\bar{n}$ can be calculated in the framework of CGC by integrating y in Eq. (1). The quantity k, which is the fluctuation parameter, can be estimated as a function of the saturation scale[6]

$$k = \kappa' \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2\pi} Q_{\mathrm{s,p}}^2(y, \sqrt{s})\sigma_{\mathrm{in}}(\sqrt{s}), \tag{12}$$

where κ' is a normalization factor. Here, in order to get an analytic expression between $Q_{\mathrm{s,p}}$ and y, $Q_{\mathrm{s,p}}$ is reconsidered by substituting $x_{1,2} = (Q_{\mathrm{s}}/\sqrt{s})e^{\pm y}$ into Eq. (3) as in Ref. [8].

3 Results and Discussion

To evaluate the pseudo-rapidity distribution, Eq. (1) should be rewritten using the transformation

$$y(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{\sqrt{\cosh^2\eta + m_0^2/p_T^2} + \sinh\eta}{\sqrt{\cosh^2\eta + m_0^2/p_T^2} - \sinh\eta}, \tag{13}$$

and the Jacobian can be correspondingly written as

$$J(\eta) = \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\cosh\eta}{\sqrt{\cosh^2\eta + m_0^2/p_T^2}}. \tag{14}$$

where m_0 is the rest mass of particle, which corresponds to the order of the scale Λ_{QCD}. With a χ^2 analysis of the experimental data[18], the factor κ in Eq. (2) is equal to 0.51 and 0.89 for the theory with and without considering the rms radius dependent saturation scale, respectively. In Fig.1, the theoretical results for pseudo-rapidity distribution of charged hadrons in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ =0.9 TeV(a), 2.36 TeV(b), 7 TeV(c) and 14 TeV(d) are shown. The solid and dashed curves are the results of the KLN model with and without considering the rms radius dependence of the saturation scale, respectively. The dotted curves are the results of the rcBK model. The experimental data come from CMS[2−3]. It is shown that the theoretical results of the KLN model considering the rms radius dependence are in good agreement with the experimental data. For the effective value of Bjorken-x in the rcBK model is $10^{-12} < x < 0.01$, it is not valid at small $\sqrt{s}$ or large pseudo rapidity. Thus, only the results at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV fit well to the data with the rcBK model. The results shown in Fig.1(d) are the predictive results for forthcoming LHC experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, and it is shown that the predictive results of the rcBK model are almost the same as those of the KLN model.

Fig.2 shows the negative binominal distribution of charged hadron at $|\eta| < 0.5$. The figure captions in Fig.2 are the same as that in Fig.1. The experimental data come from ALICE[4]. The agreement is seen to be quite well for the KLN model considered the rms radius dependent saturation scale at $\sqrt{s}$ =0.9 and 2.36 TeV and for the rcBK model at $\sqrt{s}$ =7 TeV. Here, it should be noted that the agreement is seen to be not quite well for the theoretical results of the KLN model at $\sqrt{s}$ =7 TeV even after considering the rms radius dependent saturation scale. Thus, we will give a systematic

analysis of all η regions by considering the impact factor dependence of the mean multiplicity and the quantity k in the near future.

Recently, the experimental data of the charged hadron multiplicity in p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s} =$ 5.02 TeV are given by ALICE collaboration[5]. In order to get a further test of the theory, the pseudo-rapidity distribution of charged hadrons in p+Pb collisions are investigated. For the nuclear density distribution of Pb, we use the Woods-Saxon distribution[19]

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_0}{(1 + \exp[(r - R)/a]}, \tag{15}$$

where ρ_0 corresponds to the nucleon density in the center of the nucleus, R is the nuclear radius and a is the "skin depth". The theoretical results are shown in Fig.3. The solid curve is the result of the KLN model and the dashed curve is the result that we give in Ref. [7]. The dotted and the dash-dotted curves are the results of DPMJET[20] and HIJING with gluon shadowing parameter $s_g = 0.28$[21], respectively. It is shown that the theoretical results of the KLN model fit well to the new experimental data.

In summary, the pseudo-rapidity distribution and the NBD of charged hadron in p+p and p+Pb collisions are studied with the phenomenological KLN model and the rcBK model. By considering an energy-dependent broadening of the nucleon's density distribution in position space and the rms radius dependence of the gluon saturation scale, it is found that the theoretical results are in good agreement with the experimental data from CMS and ALICE. The predictive results in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ =14 TeV of the LHC will be examined by the forthcoming experiment.

References

[1] Kumar A, Srivastava P K, Singh B K, *et al.* arXiv: hep-ph/1306.4185.

[2] Khachatryan V, Sirunyan A M, Tumasyan A, *et al.* JHEP, 2010, **1002**: 041.

[3] Khachatryan V, Sirunyan A M, Tumasyan A, *et al.* Phys Rev Lett, 2010, **105**: 022002.

[4] Aamodt K, Abel N, Abeysekara U, *et al.* Eur Phys J C, 2010, **68**: 345-354.

[5] Abelev B, Adam J, Adamova D, *et al.* Phys Rev Lett, 2013, **110**: 032301.

[6] Dumitru A, Kharzeev D E, Levin E M, *et al.* Phys Rev C, 2012, **85**: 044920.

[7] Wang H M, Liu J F, Hou Z Y, *et al.* Chin Phys C, 2013, **37**: 084102.

[8] Golec-Biernat K, Wüsthoff M. Phys Rev D, 1999, **59**: 014017.

[9] Kharzeev D, Levin E, Nardi M. Nucl Phys A, 2004, **730**: 448-459.

[10] Kovchegov Y V, Lu Z, Rezaeian A H. Phys Rev D, 2009, **80**: 074023.

[11] Kowalski H, Teaney D. Phys Rev D, 2003, **68**: 114005.

[12] Albacete J L, Armesto N, Milhano J G, *et al.* Phys Rev D, 2009, **80**: 034031.

[13] Heinz U, Moreland J S. Phys Rev C, 2011, **84**: 054905.

[14] Armesto N, Salgado C A, Wiedemann U A. Phys Rev Lett, 2005, **94**: 022002.

[15] Kuhlman A, Heinz U, Kovchegov Y V. Phys Lett B, 2006, **638**: 171-177.

[16] Albacete J L, Dumitru A, Fujii H, *et al.* Nucl Phys A, 2013, **897**: 1-27.

[17] Block M M, Halzen F. Phys Rev D, 2011, **83**: 077901.

[18] Wang H M, Hou Z Y, Zhang B A, *et al.* Int J Mod Phys A, 2010, **25**: 1009-1018.

[19] Miller M L, Reygers K, Sanders S J, *et al.* Ann Rev Nucl Part Sci, 2007, **57**: 205-243.

[20] Roesler S, Engel R, Ranft J. arXiv: hep-ph/0012252.

[21] Xu R, Deng W T, Wang X N. arXiv: nucl-th/1204.1998.

Figure 1: Figure1: Pseudo-rapidity distribution of charged hadrons in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 0.9 TeV (a), 2.36 TeV (b), 7 TeV (c) and 14 TeV (d). The solid and dashed curves are the results of the KLN model with and without considering the rms radius dependent saturation scale, respectively. The dotted curves are the results of the rcBK model. The data are from CMS[2−3].

Figure 2: Figure2: Negative binominal distribution in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 0.9 TeV (a) , 2.36 TeV (b), 7 TeV (c) and 14 TeV (d). The figure captions are the same as that in Fig.1. The data are form ALICE[4].

Figure 3: Figure3: Pseudo-rapidity distribution of charged hadrons in minimum bias p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 5.02 TeV. The curves are the result of the KLN model (solid curve), the result that we give in Ref. [7] (dashed curve), the results given in Ref. [20] (dotted curve) and Ref. [21] (dash-dotted curve). The data come from ALICE[5].


dN/dη
η
(a) s^1/2=0.9 TeV
(b) s^1/2=2.36 TeV
(c) s^1/2=7 TeV
(d) s^1/2=14 TeV


P(n)
n
(a) $s^{1/2}$=0.9 TeV


P(n)
n
(b) $s^{1/2}$=2.36 TeV


P(n)
n
(c) $s^{1/2}$=7 TeV


P(n)
n
(d) $s^{1/2}$=14 TeV


25
20
15
10
-2
-1
0
1
η
$dN_{ch}/d\eta$